

From the desk of Jeanne M. Kerkstra, Esq., CPA

**Viewpoint
About Face in "Murphy" Reconsideration**

On July 3, 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals in the DC Circuit reversed its findings that Section 104(a)(2) was unconstitutional and ruled that non-physical personal damages awarded under that provision are indeed taxable.¹

As both a CPA and an attorney, it was exciting that a court had found a provision of the IRC² to be unconstitutional. Since the 1996 change to the Code, it had become more of a minefield as to how to actually phrase damages sought and bases for those damages. Prior to the 1996 change, there was no differentiation in personal injuries. Subsequently, there was a dichotomy between *physical* (e.g., *non-taxable*) and *non-physical* (e.g., *taxable*).

When the DC Circuit initially came out with its findings that the Code section was unconstitutional, it could not have foreseen what it was getting itself into. How dramatic the findings were was only heightened by how quickly the DC Circuit vacated its findings. And now, in an opinion 30 pages long, the DC Court reverses its position and finds that Section 104(a)(2) of the Code is constitutional. Consequently because the Plaintiffs compensatory award was not received on account of physical personal injuries, the award is taxable. The Court goes to great lengths to explain the type of award, the types of injuries, and the type of tax (e.g., an excise and not a direct tax). As it was in 1776, there is no more heated a topic than tax.

Tax ramifications significantly affect settlement talks. However, as highlighted by the *Murphy* decision, taxes play an important part in the negotiations from the very beginning. If you require assistance with drafting, call me.

¹ *Murphy v. IRS*, D.C. Cir., No. 05-5139, 7/3/07.

² Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

<p>Jeanne M. Kerkstra, Esq., CPA KERKSTRA LAW OFFICES LLC 53 W. Jackson Blvd. Suite 1530 Chicago, IL 60604 312.427.0493 312.675.0500 (fax) jmk@kerkstralaw.com</p>	<p>KERKSTRA LAW OFFICES LLC Problem? Solved.®</p> <p>asset protection • estate planning corporate work • business succession planning forensic investigation • litigation and more</p>
---	--

This material is intended for educational purposes only. The conclusions expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of Kerkstra Law Offices LLC. While this material is based on information believed to be reliable, no warranty is given as to its accuracy or completeness. Concepts expressed are current as of the date appearing in this material only and are subject to change without notice.

TAX ADVICE NOTICE: The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) now requires specific formalities before written tax advice can be used to avoid penalties. This communication does not meet such requirements. You cannot contend that IRS penalties do not apply by reason of this communication.

Posted: Archived